	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)		Docket No.		
	Applicant(s): Jon B. Avne	er, et al.	1	13768.172	
_	Serial No.	Filing Date	Confirmation No.	Examiner Details I.D. Contact	
JC.	09/680,045	October 4, 2000	1329	Patrick J.D. Santos	
S. J. My	Nention: METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR PERFORMING RELIABLE ASYNCHRONOUS NOTIFICATION HIGH-LEVEL DOCUMENT OPERATIONS				
PATENT 8	Reasons for Statement of Reasons for Statement of Reasons for Statement of Reasons for Statement of Reasons for Statement (2 pages) (in triplicate); PTOL-85B Issue Fee Transmittal; PTO Form 2038 in the amount of \$1,382.00; and postcard are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on				
			*	•	
			Kendell Steele		
	·	•			
			(Typed or Printed Name of Pers	on Mailing Correspondence)	
			(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)		
			EV 384 067 387 US		
			("Express Mail" Mailing Label N	umber)	
	,				
	KCS0000004153V001				

RICK D. NYDEGGER BRENT P. LORIMER THOMAS R. VUKSINICK LARRY R. LAYCOCK JONATHAN W. RICHARDS DAVID R. WRIGHT JOHN C. STRINGHAM JOHN M. GUYNN CHARLES L. ROBERTS Dana L. Tangren ERIC L. MASCHOFF CHARLES J. VEVERKA ROBYN L. PHILLIPS RICHARD C. GILMORE \* STERLING A. BRENNAN R. Burns Israelsen DAVID R. TODD GREGORY M. TAYLOR DAVID B. DELLENBACH L. DAVID GRIFFIN ADRIAN J. LEE FRASER D. ROY CARL T. REED



R. PARRISH FREEMAN, IR. PETER F. MALEN, JR. L. REX SEARS, Ph.D. WILLIAM R. RICHTER ERIC M. KAMERATH ROBERT E. AYCOCK JENS C. JENKINS KEVIN W. STINGER WILLIAM J. ATHAY MICHAEL B. DODD RYAN D. BENSON SARA D. JONES TIGE KELLER Janna L. Jensen MATTHEW D. TODD J. LaVar Oldham MICHAEL J. FRODSHAM JOSEPH L. KRUPA BRETT A. HANSEN BRETT I. JOHNSON MATTHEW A. BARLOW WESLEY C. ROSANDER Andrew S. Hansen CHAD E. NYDEGGER Joseph G. Pia CLINTON E. DUKE RYAN N. FARR \* JAMES B. BELSHE ALISON B. MOHR KIRK R. HARRIS KEELY SCHNEITER MICHAEL M. BALLARD DAVID A. JONES SHANE K. JENSEN JONATHAN M. BENNS, Ph.D.

VERNON R. RICE § OF COUNSEL

WORKMAN | NYDEGGER

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111
TELEPHONE: (801) 533-9800
FAX: (801) 328-1707

WEBSITE: HTTP://WWW.WNLAW.COM

Express Mail Label No.: EV 384 067 387 US

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No. 13768.172

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:		)
	Jon B. Avner, et al.	)
Serial No.:	09/680,045	) Art Unit
Filed:	October 4, 2000	) 2161
Confirmation No.:	1329	)
For:	METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR PERFORMING RELIABLE ASYNCHRONOUS NOTIFICATION OF HIGH-LEVEL DOCUMENT OPERATIONS	) ) ) ) )
Examiner:	niner: Patrick J.D. Santos	

## TRANSMITTAL OF ISSUE FEE PAYMENT

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The enclosed Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee due is submitted herewith pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.67 and M.P.E.P 603.01 for filing in the matter of the United States patent application as hereinabove identified. Enclosed is PTO Form 2038 for \$1,382.00 for payment of the issue fee.

<sup>\*</sup> Admitted only in California § Admitted only in Virginia

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 23-3178 of the undersigned. Triplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.

Please address all future correspondence in connection with the above-identified patent application to the attention of the undersigned.

## Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention as set forth in each of the independent claims and the dependent claims must be read as a whole, and not as a single feature or subcombination of features which represent less than the entirety of the claimed invention as a whole. While a particular feature or subcombination of features referred to by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance may represent a basis for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art, Applicants further submit that this may not necessarily be the *sole* ground for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Examiner's statement should, in Applicants' view, not be read as constituting or meaning that the invention can or should be reduced to a single "feature" of the invention or to a subcombination of features that is less than the entire invention claimed as a whole, nor that the single feature referenced by the Examiner or subcombination of features referenced by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance is the only or sole grounds for distinguishing the invention over the prior art of record.

Dated this alst day of December 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

RICK D. NYDEGGER Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 28,651

Customer No. 47973

RDN:kcs KCS0000004152V001